

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,015	05/12/2006	Shinji Shimosaki	0149-053929	4101
28289 THE WEBB I	7590 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	SMITH, FRANCIS P		
436 SEVENTI PITTSBURGE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,015	SHIMOSAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Francis P. Smith	1792		

	Francis P. Smith	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or [MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07()]	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.196(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of elsemining the period to fund the property of the date of the property of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	t prior to the data of Elina a brief		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in better 	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/Francis Smith/, Examiner		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to claim 1, if entered, changes the scope of the independent claim, which would require at least additional considerations and particularly resolving potential issues under 35 USC 112/1st paragraph.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are based on "the hydrolysable metal compound vapor and the water vapor which are reactant with one another", and thus, additional search and consideration are needed to determine the patentability of the proposed claim amendment. Applicants argue the use of the Terneu reference for teaching hydrolysable metal compound and water vapor streams meeting each other before they reach the substrate (arguments at page 12). Applicants' attention is drawn to col. 4, lines 18-23 of Terneu whereby hydrolysable metal compound and water vapor streams are supplied to a coating zone and come into contact in the VICINITY (e.g. in proximity; streams meeting before reaching the substrate) to the substrate lot be being coated; and thus, the claim limitation is met.